

# Learning to Confuse: Generating Training Time Adversarial Data with Auto-Encoder

Ji Feng\*, Qi-Zhi Cai\*, Zhi-Hua Zhou

NeurIPS 2019 Presented by Jiaru Zhang, AISIG March 8, 2022



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
00				

▲ Ξ ► < Ξ ►</p>

**1** Introduction

#### **2** The proposed method

**3** Experiments





Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
•0				

# Section 1 Introduction

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



ł

Introduction ○●	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others

# Introduction

• Problem: Adding imperceivable noises to the training data to confuse classifier in testing.



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
	•00000			

# Section 2 The proposed method

< ∃ >

∢ ≣ ▶



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
	00000			

## **Problem formulation**

The learning target of a neural network  $f_{\theta}$  with parameter  $\theta$  is

 $\theta^* = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathcal{L}\left(f_{\theta}(x), y\right) \right]$ (1)

Noise generator:  $g_{\xi}$ 

Target

#### Constraint on noise

$$\forall x, \left\|g_{\xi}(x)\right\|_{\infty} \le \epsilon \tag{2}$$

In this work, an encoder-decoder network with activation shanghal Jao Tong  $\epsilon \cdot (\tanh(\cdot))$  in the last layer is used.

Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
	000000			

#### **Problem formulation**

#### The task is formulated into

**Task formulation** 

$$\max_{\xi} \sum_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathcal{L}\left(f_{\theta^{*}(\xi)}(x), y\right) \right]$$
  
s.t.  $\theta^{*}(\xi) = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathcal{L}\left(f_{\theta}\left(x + g_{\xi}(x)\right), y\right) \right]$  (3)



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
	000000			

# Optimization

The equality constraint can be relaxed into

$$\theta_{i} = \theta_{i-1} - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\theta_{i-1}} \mathcal{L}\left(f_{\theta_{i-1}}\left(x + g_{\xi}(x)\right), y\right)$$
(4)

Image: A matrix

- The basic idea is to alternatively update f<sub>θ</sub> on noisy data via gradient descent.and g<sub>ξ</sub> on clean data over gradient ascent.
- However,  $f_{\theta}$  and  $g_{\xi}$  won't converge in practice.



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
	000000			

### Optimization

- Collecting the update trajectories for f<sub>θ</sub>
- Update  $g_{\xi}$  based on such trajectories.



Figure 1: An overview for learning to confuse: Decoupling the alternating update for  $f_{\theta}$  and  $g_{\xi}$ 

• • • • • • • •

• Implementation trick: save  $g_{\xi}$  instead of  $f_{\theta_i}$ .



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
	00000			

#### Label specific adversaries

• It can be easily transfer to the label specific conditions.

#### Label specific adversaries

Replace

$$\max_{\xi} \sum_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathcal{L}\left( f_{\theta^*}(\xi)(x), y \right) \right]$$
(5)

into

$$\min_{\xi} \sum_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathcal{L}\left( f_{\theta^*(\xi)}(x), \eta(y) \right) \right], \tag{6}$$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ...

where  $\eta$  is a predefined label transformation function.

Learning to Confuse: Generating Training Time Adversarial Data with Auto-Encoder

о Тонд 9/27

AISIG

Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments •0000000	Conclusion	<b>Others</b>

# Section 3 **Experiments**

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



ł

Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
		0000000		

#### **Performance Evaluation**

• The test accuracy obviously dropped when trained on the adversarial datasets.

	MNIST	ImageNet	CIFAR-10
Clean Data	$99.32 \pm 0.05$	$88.5 \pm 2.32$	$77.28 \pm 0.17$
Adversarial Data	$0.25\pm0.04$	$54.2 \pm 11.19$	$28.77 \pm 2.80$

• The classifier trained on the adversarial data cannot differentiate the clean samples.



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
		0000000		

### Effect of varying parameters

- There is a sudden drop in performance when the perturbation constraint  $\epsilon$  exceeds 0.15.
- The proposed method performs better than random flip.



Figure 4: Effect of varying  $\epsilon$ .



Figure 5: Varying the ratio of adversaries under different  $\epsilon$ .

- E

-∢ ≣⇒



# **Evaluation of Transferability**

• It transfers very well on even non-NN classifiers, e.g., random forest and SVM.



Image: A matrix

→ Ξ → < Ξ →</p>



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
		00000000		

# **Generalization Gap**

- A clear generalization gap is observed during the training process.
- It is conjectured that the deep model tends to overfit towards the adversarial noises.



## Validation and Linear Hypothesis

- The model performs well when taking only adversarial noises as inputs.
- One possible explanation is the linearity inside deep models.



Figure 9: Clean samples and their corresponding adversarial noises for MNIST, CIFAR-10 and ImageNet

Table 2: Prediction accuracy taking **only noises as inputs**. That is, the accuracy between the true label and  $f_{\theta}(g_{\xi}(x))$  where x is the clean sample.

	Noisetrain	Noise <sub>test</sub>
MNIST	95.62	95.15
ImageNet	88.87	93.00
CIFAR-10	78.57	72.98



## Weight Visualizations

• The victim SVM weights went to the opposite direction and tend to overfits on image corners.



Figure 10: LinearSVM weights visualization for MNIST. Top row: Weights trained on clean training data. Bottom row: Weights trained on adversarial training data.



## Label Specific Adversaries

- Price: test accuracy increases from  $0.25 \pm 0.04$  to  $1.48 \pm 0.21$ .
- Effect: Success rate for targeting the desired specific label:  $79.7 \pm 0.38$ .





Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
			000	

# Section 4 Conclusion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Ę

Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
			000	

# Conclusion

- This paper proposed a general framework for generating training time adversarial data.
- A simple yet effective training scheme to train both networks.
- Experiments on image data confirm the effectiveness.



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
			000	

## **Related consecutive work**

- A concurrent work minimizes the gradients of weights to make models harder to converge in transfer learning <sup>1</sup>.
- "Inversely adversarial noise" generated by PGD has a similar effect and is used to synthesize *Unlearnable Examples*<sup>2</sup>.
- Gradient manipulation is used to generate poisoned dataset <sup>3</sup>.
- Adversarial examples make stronger poisons <sup>4</sup>.
- Adversarial training serves as a defense with theoretical guarantee <sup>5</sup>.

3 Liam H Fowl, Ping-yeh Chiang, Micah Goldblum, Jonas Geiping, Arpit Amit Bansal, Wojciech Czaja, Tom Goldstein. Protecting Proprietary Data: Poisoning for Secure Dataset Release. In arxiv preprint, 2103.02683.

Juncheng Shen, Xiaolei Zhu, De Ma. TensorClog: An Imperceptible Poisoning Attack on Deep Neural Network Applications, in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 41498-41506, 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hanxun Huang, Xingjun Ma, Sarah Monazam Erfani, James Bailey, Yisen Wang. Unlearnable Examples: Making Personal Data Unexploitable. In ICLR, 2021.

<sup>4</sup> Liam H Fowl, Micah Goldblum, Ping-yeh Chiang, Jonas Geiping, Wojciech Czaja, Tom Goldstein. Adversarial Examples Make Strong Poisons. In NeurIPS, 2021.

 <sup>5</sup> Lue Tao, Lei Feng, Jinfeng Yi, Sheng-Jun Huang, Songcan Chen. Better Safe Than Sorry: In NeurlPS, 2021.
 ShangHai JiAo Tong University

 1
 NeurlPS, 2021.
 Image: ShangHai JiAo Tong University

Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
				0000000

Section 5 Others

Ę

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
				000000



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
				0000000

AAAI-2022	Home	Venue	Schedule	Papers	Plenary	Events *
lect	Select     Show Favorite					
	Ingroing Everlan Navid Networks Alexandro Gungling Ven Pauly You (San Gung) Ven Pauly You (San Gung)	n. 27 orga			Each d paper. by a m If you I you se You se You ca by auth Drag s summ plot.	at represents a They are arranged easure of similarity. Hower over a dot, the related paper. It is a not solve the related paper in search for papers nor, keyword, or title rectangle to an area of the

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

Ð.

Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
				0000000



Introduction	The proposed method	Experiments	Conclusion	Others
				0000000



Experiments

Conclusion

# **Experience in AAAI**



Experiments

Conclusion

### **Experience in AAAI**



Shanghai Jiao Tong University